IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH**

**WRIT PETITION No. 17220 of 2005**

Between:

Smt. V.Lakshmi

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad,

Office at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad and 2 others

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**ORDER:**

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home.

The writ petition is filed complaining that the 3rd respondent illegally summoning the petitioner to the police station and interfering with her personal life and liberty.

A counter has been filed stating that one Smt.T.Umadevi, W/o.Ramamurty filed a complaint on 20.07.2005 alleging that her husband Ramamurthy is having illicit intimacy with the petitioner and the said Ramamurty is not maintaining her and her family, and on the said complaint, the police registered a case in Cr.No.327/2005 for the offence under Section 498-A and 497 IPC and investigation was taken up. As a part of investigation only, a constable was sent to the petitioner's house and asked about the whereabouts of the said Ramamurty, but the petitioner did not cooperate with the police. The allegations that the police entered into the house of the petitioner forcibly and enquired about the whereabouts of the said Ramamurthy are denied.

In view of the denial in the counter that the police are not interfering with the personal life and liberty of the petitioner, but only they wanted to enquire about the whereabouts of the said Ramamurty alone. It is stated that the police, however, not created any nuisance or assaulted the petitioner or pressurized her to know the whereabouts of the said Ramamurthy.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that if any illegal action being committed on the part of the 3rd respondent, it is always open for the petitioner to make a representation before the 1st respondent. If there is any allegation against the petitioner, the police may be issued summons to the petitioner for enquiry purpose, but they can not interfere with her personal life and liberty.

With the above observations, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

**V.ESWARAIAH,J**

Dated: 20.12.2005

Dsr